

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/626,341	(07/24/2003	Mahfuza B. Ali	57169US003	9160	
32692	7590	10/04/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
3M INNOV	ATIVE	PROPERTIES CON	PEZZUTO, H	PEZZUTO, HELEN LEE		
PO BOX 334	427				<u> </u>	
ST. PAUL,	MN 5513	33-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1713		

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/626,341	ALI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Helen L. Pezzuto	1713	

		Helen L. Pezzulo	1713	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 25 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI			
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	g date of the final rejecti	on.
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70			
have under set fo may i	isions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exit 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sirth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply oric r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
				
3. 🔼	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a)	but prior to the date of filing a brief	r, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
	(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further cond(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		TE below);	
	(c) \boxtimes They are not deemed to place the application in bet		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
	appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	saucing of antipinying	ine issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		,	
4. F	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
o	non-allowable claim(s).	iowabie ii subiiiitteu iii a separate,	unicity med amendine	ant canceling the
7. 🛚	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-58.		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
ΔFFI	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
_	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		01
			Helen L. Pezzuto Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1713	7

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/626,341

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Firstly, the proposed product by process format in the existing product claims (i.e. the elected invention) and those in the proposed new claims raise new issues at this stage of the prosecution, because the process was withdarwn as being directed to non-elected invention during the prosecution of the present application. Such product by process limitation would necessitate new search and consideration. Secondly, when a product is described in terms of the process for making the same, the patentability of the claimed invention is determined based on the product itself, not on the method of making it. The burden shifts to applicant to provide clear and convincing factual evidence that the respective products do in fact differ in kind..